

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,912	12/30/2004	Richard A MOWERY JR.		2926	
7590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER			
Richard A Mowery Jr			NGUYEN, HUNG T		
911 Morning Sun Lane McGregor, TX 76657			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612	2612	
			DATÉ MAILED: 09/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/30/204 & 9/6/2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner    Examiner			10/519,912	MOWERY, RICHARD A	
HUNG T. NGUYEN   2612		Office Action Summary		Art Unit	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be available under the processor of 3 CFR 1.18(£), in a rover, however, may nerry be truny litted after 50 K, 0) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  Intro period for may be available on the blood for 100 Mills of 100 Mills (100 Mills) from the mailing date of the communication.  Any reply received by the Office side than there menths after the mailing date of the sommunication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sended prior to the adjustment. Set 37 CPR 1.79(b).  Status  1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12/30/2004 8 9/6/2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is FINAL.  2c) ☑ This action is FINAL.  2c) ☑ This action is final.  2c) ② Interest of the above claims of the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  ② Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4  ② Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  □ claim(s) is/are allowed.  6  ② claim(s) is/are allowed.  6  ② claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10  ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to . See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11  □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ Homestook Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-880)  1  □ Homestook Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-880)		-	1	2612	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  ***BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the nating date of the nating date of the communication.  **BIRT OR AND MONTHS from the natin		- The MAILING DATE of this communication app		h the correspondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COVINION.  Eatherwise of time may be entitled under the provision of 30 FRE1*13(9) is no event, however, may a repty be timely filled after SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **SERIC SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **SERIC SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **SERIC SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **Father to review the provision of the mailing date of this communication.  **Pather to review the provision of the mailing date of this communication.  **Pather to review the provision of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search provision.  **Pather to require them adjustment.** See 37 CFR 1.794(6).  **STATUTE AND THE ADDITION OF THE ADDI	Period fo	or Reply			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/30/204 & 9/6/2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variet or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMINIONIC 36(a): In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON course the application to become AB	Phy be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/30	<u>0/2004 &amp; 9/6/2006</u> .		
Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)□	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application of a continuation places of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	3)□				
4)		closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Disposit	tion of Claims	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are pending	ng in the application.		
6) Claim(s) 1.6-10.12-14 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3 Interview Summany (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5 In Notice of Informal Patent Application	٠,٠,٠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)	5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)			ed.		
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08)			1 12		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Prefirences Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Applica	tion Papers			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2004 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	11)[	] The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	) Omce Action of form P10-152.	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  4. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1		n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	а		to have been received		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application		1. Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received in A	application No.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		2. Coning of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	!			_	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				received.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1	• •	4) 🗖 Inter-issue	Summany (PTO-413)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	1) No	itice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
	3) 🔲 Inf		′=		

Application/Control Number: 10/519,912

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 6, 8-10, 14 & 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Paull (U.S. 3,656,112).

Regarding claim 1, Paull discloses power line communication in the form of a system for handing off or exchanging information [figs.1-2, col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 40 ] comprising:

- tethered device (300) connected to power transmission communication network having transceiver (301,306) and power transmission communication system (203) [ figs.1-2, col.3, lines 3-22 ];
- untethered device / portable (100) having a transceiver (102,103) for transceiving radio signals to remote location (400) [ figs.1-2, col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 40 ].

Application/Control Number: 10/519,912

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 6, Paull discloses power line communication in the form of a system for handing off or exchanging information by wireless network [ figs.1-2, col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 40 ].

Regarding claim 8, Paull discloses the transceiver (301,306) connected to the power communication network operates inside a lighting apparatus (821,822) [ figs.1-2,11, col.10, line 74 to col.11, line 15 ].

Regarding claims 9-10, Paull the untethered device / portable (100) having a transceiver (102,103) for transceiving radio signals to remote location (400) [ figs.1-2, col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 40 and lines 62-75 ].

Regarding claim 14, Paull discloses the tethered device (300) connected to power transmission communication network having transceiver (301,306) and power transmission communication system (203) [ figs.1-2, col.3, lines 3-22 ].

Regarding claims 23-25, Paull discloses power line communication in the form of a system for handing off or exchanging information [figs.1-2, col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 40] comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,912

Art Unit: 2612

- tethered device (300) connected to power transmission communication network having transceiver (301,306) and power transmission communication system (203) [ figs.1-2, col.3, lines 3-22];

Page 4

- the transceiver (301,306) connected to the power communication network operates inside a lighting apparatus (821,822) which connects to electrical outlet is inherently [ figs.1-2,11, col.10, line 74 to col.11, line 15 ];
- untethered device / portable (100) having a transceiver (102,103) for transceiving radio signals to remote location (400) [ figs.1-2, col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 40 ].

Regarding claim 26, Paull discloses power line communication in the form of a system for handing off or exchanging information by wireless network [ figs.1-2, col.2, line 65 to col.3, line 40 ].

Regarding claims 27-28, Paull discloses the tethered device (300) connected to power transmission communication network having transceiver (301,306) to transmit signals to remote location as programmed [figs.1-2, col.3, lines 3-22].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7 & 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paull (U.S. 3,656,112) in view of Ditzik (U.S. 5,983,073).

Regarding claim 7, Paull teaches a means for determining a location of the subscriber device (500) [ col.1, lines 38-50 ];

- transmitting data signal to the device [col.1, lines 26-50];
- informing the device of location data [col.1, lines 26-50];
- determining a time of arrival [ col.4, lines 60-73 ].

Paull does not specifically mention evaluating data with respect to the untethered device as claimed by applicant.

However, Ditzik discloses a wireless communication includes cell phone (14), notebook computer (51) may perform their duties in data communication as programmed [ figs. 2-3, abstract ].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Arjomand in the system of Birchfield to perform their duties as evaluating data and transmitting data signals to remote locations as required.

Regarding claims 12-13, Ditzik discloses the wireless communication includes cell phone (14), notebook computer (51) may perform their duties in data communication as programmed [figs. 2-3, abstract].

Art Unit: 2612

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Nilssen (6,073,003).

Davis (U.S. 6,362,745).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2982. The examiner can normally he reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00 am to

6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Horabik, Michael can be reached on (571) 272-3068. The fax phone number

for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner: Hung (1) Nguyen

Date:

Sept. 15, 2006